|a form, I presume a Federal Government form and -- that breaks| down your wages, divvies them up, and wherever they go they 2 3 qo. MR. GREENEBAUM: And, if you would, take a look at 4 5 Tab 7. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is Tab 7 of Exhibit 40. 7 BY MR. GREENEBAUM: Before we go to that, let me clarify one loose end. 8 You referred to it as a Federal Government form. You know you 9 receive it from the company and not the Federal Government, 10 11 don't you? I don't -- I look at that form. That looks like 12 something that didn't come off of one of our computers. I 13 14 don't know where it came from. 15 So, you're not familiar with what a W-2 Form is. Is 16 that your testimony? 17 Well, I'm familiar with it because I'm sitting here 18 looking at it and I've seen it before. But like I originally 19 said, I don't pay any attention to it. I give it to my tax 20 guy. As far as the numbers and the breakdown are, I don't --21 I couldn't tell you what those numbers are. 22 Q And you don't know what the purpose of it is either, 23 is that right? 24 I think you just asked me that and I told you what 25 It was to break down your compensation.

1	Q	And you don't know who gives it to you?
2	A	I
3		MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection. Asked and answered.
4		MR. GREENEBAUM: I don't believe he has.
5		JUDGE SIPPEL: The question was in. No, it's not.
6	I'm going	to overrule the objection.
7		WITNESS: You mean what person gives it to me?
8		MR. GREENEBAUM: Physically, what entity gives you a
9	W-2?	
10		WITNESS: I presume it's the Government, Internal
11	Revenue Se	ervice.
12		MR. GREENEBAUM: And would you now look at Tab 7?
13		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, before you do that, I want to
14	ask a ques	stion here. Does are is your testimony that
15	this is th	ne first time that you've ever thought through the
16	process of	a W-2 Form in terms of this specific information?
17		WITNESS: No one has ever asked me what is a W-2
18	for.	
19		JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, no, I didn't ask you that,
20	whether an	ybody ever asked you that before. I'm saying your,
21	your, your	you, you the implication I get here is from
22	you the	way you're answering these questions, that this is
23	your first	exposure to a W-2 Form in terms of its purpose, in
24	terms of w	hat's on it.
25		WITNESS: Like I said, once a year, or however often

1 | it is I get one of these things, I don't even look at it when

- 2 I get it to, to see whatever these numbers are that are
- 3 blacked out. I know it goes to my tax guy. He takes it and
- 4 tells me what my taxes are and how much I have to pay. I
- 5 mean, it doesn't take, you know, much to look at and figure
- 6 out what it's all about. It's breaking down your income -- or
- 7 one portion of your income, in my case.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, Mr. Greenebaum.
- 9 BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
- 10 Q What portion of your income in your case is it
- 11 breaking down?
- 12 A I, I don't know what the percentage is.
- Q Well, is it -- what, what income are you talking
- 14 about?
- 15 A I'm talking about -- this says Sinclair Broadcast
- 16 Group.
- 17 O Are you talking about your salary?
- 18 A My remuneration. Whatever you want to call it.
- 19 Q I don't want to call it anything. I'm just trying
- 20 to find out what you call it.
- 21 A Neither do I.
- 22 | Q Okay. Now, sir, look at Tab 7.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 | Q You see that is a W-4 entitled "Employee's
- 25 | Withholding Allowance Certificate"?

Α Yes. 1 Is that your signature under the "employee's signa-2 ture" line? 3 Yes, it is. 4 Α And do you know what purpose you executed this? 5 Q Because my tax man told me to. 6 Α Other than what your tax man told you to, you have 7 no idea or understanding of what it is or is intended to do, is that correct? 9 It's an Employee's Withholding Allowance 10 Α Certificate. 11 Other than what you're reading --12 That's what I'm -- what's withheld from my remuner-13 Α ation from Sinclair Broadcast Group, I presume. It doesn't 14 15 say on there -- corporation. Now, sir -- would it be fair to say without going 16 through a lot of questions that you have absolutely no knowl-17 edge of the Internal Revenue Code other than the fact that it 18 19 exists? I have no knowledge of the Internal Revenue Code? 20 Α 21 Yes, sir. Q I don't even know what that term Revenue Code is. 22 Α Is that a book somewhere? I mean, I --23 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right. I think we can 24 25 move on to some other --

1	WITNESS: I don't understand.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: question.
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: I wonder if Your Honor would
4	revisit my motion to strike portions of his direct testimony
5	which gives conclusions of law about income and W-2's and
6	W-4's?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I think that you get, you get
8	more advantage out of having the record sit the way it is.
9	MR. GREENEBAUM: Okay. I'll withdraw that. If you
10	want the record
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Off the record.
12	(Off the record. On the record.)
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: We're on the record.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: Mr. Smith, I'd like to ask hand
15	you Exhibit 46, which is the Application filed on behalf of
16	Four Jacks in this proceeding, and refer you to FCC Form 301,
17	exhibit no. 4.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is the, so that the record is
19	clear, this is the portion of the Application that's
20	MR. GREENEBAUM: Was marked Exhibit 46.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: 46, and this is as so, it's as
22	of September 3, 1991. It doesn't have any amendments.
23	MR. GREENEBAUM: September 3, '91.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Correct.
25	MR. GREENEBAUM: It's page it's Exhibit no. 4,

the top right-hand corner. There's not a page number. 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, it's towards the back of the 2 3 document. 4 WITNESS: Okay. 5 BY MR. GREENEBAUM: 6 0 Do you see that, sir? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 And do you recognize that as the pledge you made to 9 divest in September 1991 if you were successful with this Application? 10 11 Α Yes. And you see that the bottom line, in addition to 12 0 13 saying that you will divest in a manner which will be compli-14 ant with Section 73.3.5 and so forth, you would also sever all 15 connections with WBFF-TV, Channel 45, Baltimore, Maryland? 16 Α Yes. 17 Now, sir, if you would look at exhibit -- the same Exhibit, exhibit no. 6, page 4, the bottom of the page? 18 19 you see the last sentence starting, "To fulfill their inte-20 gration commitments each of the brothers will resign from 21 their then current employment and will limit or terminate any 22 other activities that might interfere with their integration 23 commitments..."? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 And you, you recognize those as pledges that were

1 made by you and on your behalf at the time the Application was 2 filed?

3 A Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. GREENEBAUM: Now, I would like to mark for evidence, Your Honor, the Integration Diversification

 Statement filed on behalf of Four Jacks on May 7, 1993.
- MS. SCHMELTZER: I believe it's already in the record, Your Honor. I believe it came in in the preceding hearing as an exhibit.
- MR. GREENEBAUM: We were -- I -- that's --
- 11 MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm sure it's in.
- MR. GREENEBAUM: That's fine.
- MS. SCHMELTZER: I just don't have --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I'll -- I'm going to go

 off the record for just a minute here.
- 16 (Off the record. On the record.)
 - JUDGE SIPPEL: In the off-the-record discussions, we compared our respective exhibit list and no one finds the Integration Statement for, for Four Jacks as having been marked and received in evidence, and therefore at counsel's request, at Mr. Greenebaum's request, we are now marking a document entitled "Integration Diversification Statement," and it's -- it is -- that is the document on behalf of Four Jacks Broadcasting, Inc., that was exchanged with counsel and presented to myself on the 7th of May, 1993. That document will

1	be marked for identification as Scripps Howard No. 47.
2	(Whereupon, the document referred to
3	as Scripps Howard Exhibit No. 47 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, you have questions of the
6	witness with this one?
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: Two, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: You may proceed.
9	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
10	Q Mr. Smith, do you have Exhibit 47 in front of you?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Would you look at page 2 where it says "Robert E.
13	Smith" in the middle of the page? Ask you if you see a reaf-
14	firmance of: your pledge to fulfill his integration commit-
15	ment. Robert E. Smith will resign from his then current
16	employment and will limit or terminate any other activities
17	that might interfere with his integration commitment.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And on page 3 you see, see the reaffirming
20	reaffirmation of your pledge to divest yourself and sever all
21	connections with WBFF-TV, Channel 45, Baltimore, Maryland, as
22	well under the Diversification section
23	A Yes.
24	Q in III?
25	A Yes, I do.

1	Q And now take a look at what I believe is Four Jacks
2	Exhibit 3, which is the Declaration of Robert E. Smith dated
3	9/10/1993. Are you getting that?
4	A I'm sorry? Where, where we are now?
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exhibit 3.
6	MR. GREENEBAUM: That's his direct testimony, I
7	think. It's September 1993. Your Honor, while he's looking
8	at that, I would move the Integration Diversification
9	Statement, Exhibit 47, into evidence.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Any objection?
11	MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm, I'm not sure why this is
12	coming into the exhibit into the record. I don't know
13	what
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
15	MS. SCHMELTZER: relevance is it.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you
17	off. You, you're objecting on a relevancy basis. Mr. Zauner?
18	MR. ZAUNER: I have no objection.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: If anything, it goes to the nub of
20	the issue that I added. It's going to be this Integration
21	Statement. And it, it's for starts, I'm, I'm going to
22	overrule the objection and receive it into evidence as, as
23	Scripps Howard's No. 47. So, that's in.
24	(Whereupon, the document marked for
25	identification as Scripps Howard

1	Exhibit No. 47 was received into
2	evidence.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, we're back to the phase one
4	testimony of this witness, and that's
5	MR. GREENEBAUM: Four Jacks Exhibit 3.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exhibit 3. And is he directed to a
7	paragraph and page?
8	MR. GREENEBAUM: Exhibit 3, A, Integration,
9	Quantitative, bottom of the first paragraph.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have that page, Mr
11	WITNESS: Yes.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Smith?
13	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you see at the end of the first
15	paragraph you reiterate: your commitment to resign from your
16	then current employment and limit or terminate any activities
17	that might interfere with your integration commitment?
18	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GREENEBAUM: And on page 6 of that exhibit you
20	reaffirm the pledge to timely divest and sever all connections
21	with WBFF-TV. Is that right? Last page before
22	MR. ZAUNER: Doesn't the document speak for itself?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's
24	WITNESS: Yes.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: cross-examination. I'm going to

1	permit this. Go ahead.
2	WITNESS: Yes.
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you feel that you've made a
4	commitment to the FCC to resign your employment with Sinclair
5	if you're successful with your challenge to Channel 2?
6	WITNESS: With Sinclair I never agreed to resign
7	from my office there. What we're talking about here is
8	Channel 45, divest we won't have that anymore.
9	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you see anything in the docu-
10	ments that we have been through this afternoon, these last
11	few, that would suggest that you intended to remain with
12	Sinclair if you were successful
13	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection. The form only asks you
14	what you're going to divest, not what you're going to remain
15	with.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm going to permit the
17	question. Did I that
18	MS. SCHMELTZER: And the, and the things that we
19	have filed speak for themselves.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
21	MR. GREENEBAUM: Well, Your Honor
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: I well, go ahead. I'm going to
23	overrule the objection.
24	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.

1	MR. GREENEBAUM: Do you see anything in the docu-
2	ments we've been reviewing this afternoon that makes it clear
3	if you planned to continue in your present role at Sinclair if
4	you were successful with your challenge to Channel 2?
5	MR. ZAUNER: I'm, I'm going to object on the grounds
6	it's really unfair for the witness he's been shown an awful
7	lot of documents this afternoon and this morning
8	MR. GREENEBAUM: Your Honor, I
9	MR. ZAUNER: and to sit down and try to figure
10	out whether he's seen something someplace that might
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's a fair question. I'm going to
12	let it I'm overruling that objection. These witnesses know
13	why they're here today. Go ahead, Mr. Greenebaum.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: Could you answer that question?
15	WITNESS: Can I first read all this? Because I know
16	it's in here somewhere where we have never
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you sure you understand the
18	question? If not, just say so.
19	WITNESS: We, we've never specifically understood
20	the whole concept of us divesting Channel 45 having anything
21	to do with SBG or time spent there period. Whatever these
22	things say
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think that was his question.
24	Listen to his question.
25	MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm asking you

1	WITNESS: I can take them one at a time, but
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, are they do you what Mr.
3	Greenebaum is asking and what I'd be interested in hearing is
4	do you think is there something here that has been put
5	before you today or something in this record that you have
6	some, some familiarity with in a general way that would estab-
7	lish the fact that you intended to stay with Sinclair if you
8	win the stay if you win the Channel 2 allotment?
9	WITNESS: Can I quote this?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can quote anything.
11	WITNESS: I'll take one of your documents, one of
12	the better documents the documents. It says: "Robert E.
13	Smith will resign from his then current employment and will
14	limit or terminate any other activities that might interfere
15	with his integration commitment."
16	If you take it one at a time, "resign from his
17	then current employment" I could view that as, you know,
18	working for McDonald's or on a lemonade stand. What I do for
19	Sinclair Broadcast Group and it says will not "and
20	will limit or terminate any other activities" It's the
21	same thing. Nothing we do or nothing I do at Sinclair
22	Broadcast Group is going to interfere with what I've said I'm
23	going to do. Period. I you know, whatever this stuff
24	says.
25	MR. GREENEBAUM: That's, that's your

```
1
              WITNESS: That's how, that's how I would interpret
 2
    that, reading that.
              MR. GREENEBAUM: Mr. Smith, if you had wanted to say
 3
 4
    to the Commission that if we're successful with Channel 2 we
 5
    intend to retain all of our positions with Sinclair Broadcast
 6
    Group, Inc., you knew how to say that, didn't you?
              MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection.
                                           There was no require-
 8
    ment that we say that. The only requirement is that you
 9
    propose to -- if you -- in -- say what you're proposing to
10
             The question on the Form 301 is: What do you propose
11
    to divest, if anything?
12
              MR. GREENEBAUM: I'm not talking --
13
              JUDGE SIPPEL:
                             If you're right as a matter of law,
    then that's going to be, you know -- then you're right as a
14
15
    matter of law. But right now we're on a fact-finding --
16
              MS. SCHMELTZER: But that --
17
              JUDGE SIPPEL: -- exercise here and I'm going to
18
    permit --
19
              MS. SCHMELTZER: I also object to the form of the
20
    question: If you wanted to, could you have. It's --
21
              MR. GREENEBAUM: Well --
22
              MS. SCHMELTZER: -- it's pure speculation.
23
              MR. GREENEBAUM:
                               I'm, I'm, I'm --
24
              JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to permit the question and
   overrule the objection.
```

1	MR. GREENEBAUM: Could you answer, please, sir?
2	WITNESS: Can I hear it again?
3	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yeah. If you had wanted to advise
4	the Commission that you intended to maintain your positions
5	with Sinclair if you were successful with your challenge to
6	Channel 2, you knew how to say that in plain and simple
7	English, did you not?
8	WITNESS: I don't know what I knew how to say then.
9	MR. GREENEBAUM: Could you please take a look at
10	page 4 of your direct testimony in connection with the with
11	this proceeding today?
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: That will be your Exhibit
13	MR. GREENEBAUM: Well, let
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: 27.
15	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes.
16	WITNESS: Page 4?
17	MR. GREENEBAUM: Page 4, paragraph 8. Would you
18	read paragraph 8 to yourself, please?
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go off the record while he reads it.
20	(Off the record. On the record.)
21	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
22	Q And I would like to call your attention to the
23	sentence the next to the last sentence of paragraph 8 which
24	says: "I believe that this intention was made clear in Four
25	Jacks filing to the FCC throughout the course of this

proceeding." Can you tell me what filing you had in mind that 2 made this intention clear? Well, I -- like I just said, I could read this one, 4 I could read that one, I could read any of them. going to come up with the same conclusion, so in my mind it's all of them. 7 You think they're all clear? 8 In my mind they're crystal clear. 9 Α And you think they state crystal clear that you plan 10 to remain with Sinclair and have always planned to remain with 11 Sinclair if you were successful with your challenge to Channel 12 Is that your testimony? 13 In my mind it's 100 percent affirmative that that's 14 Α what that says when I read it. 15 Now, have you, sir, read the FCC-- the SEC filings, 16 the Securities and Exchange Commission's filings that have 17 been made on behalf of Sinclair? 18 19 Α Yes. And you reviewed them all? 20 21 No. Α Are you familiar with the Registration Statement and 22 Prospectus filed with the SEC in December 1993? 23

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

drafts of that thing. If that's the final one, the answer is

Was that the final one? There's about eight

24

25

Α

Yes.

1	yes.
2	Q Is that the one you read?
3	A I believe so. I don't know. I may have read the
4	first one and never read another one. There were so many
5	drafts of those things. And they were never really changed
6	too much.
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: If Your Honor will give us a
8	moment?
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go off the record for a minute.
10	(Off the record. On the record.)
11	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
1.2	Q Now, as I understand your testimony, I'm trying to
13	close without going through a lot more, notwithstanding spe-
14	cific titles and assigned duties, is there going to be a, is
15	there going to be a continuation of the management committee
16	approach that you've referred to in your present operation if
۱7	you're successful in your challenge to Channel 2 with that
L8	station as well?
19	A The management committee from the aspect that each
20	department head will be as a part of that management team with
21	the ultimate decisive power being the general manager, as with
22	all the rest of our TV stations is, then that's a likely
23	outcome.
24	Q Has, has that been ever been discussed?
25	A I don't recall necessarily.

1	Q Let me refer you to your Deposition on July 28,
2	1993, page 64, line 4. Question: "Notwithstanding the spe-
3	cific titles and assigned duties, is there going to be a
4	continuation of the management committee approach, is that
5	correct?"
6	Answer: "That hasn't been discussed."
7	Question: "It's never been discussed?"
8	Answer: "No."
9	Do you recall that?
10	A I don't have it in front of me because I've got too
11	many documents up in front of me
12	Q Well, all right. Well, that's
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll show it to you.
14	MS. SCHMELTZER: I'm sorry. What page is that?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go off the record so he can find it.
16	(Off the record. On the record.)
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
18	MR. GREENEBAUM: Mr. Smith, have you now had an
19	opportunity to read in your Deposition taken July 28, 1993, on
20	page 64, lines 4 through 10?
21	WITNESS: Yes.
22	MR. GREENEBAUM: Does that refresh your recollection
23	that a management committee for Channel 2 had never even been
24	discussed?
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: That's exactly what he said before,

1	Mr. Greenebaum.
2	MR. GREENEBAUM: Is that correct?
3	WITNESS: Yes. That's what I said.
4	MR. GREENEBAUM: Now
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are, are you finished with that line,
6	Mr. Greenebaum?
7	MR. GREENEBAUM: Well, this Your Honor, I'm just
8	this is my last point. I just want to make sure whether I
9	go just a little bit further, if you give me one moment.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you're, you're still on this
11	management committee?
12	MR. GREENEBAUM: Yes.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
14	MR. GREENEBAUM: I may switch to another document.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why don't we go off the record.
16	(Off the record. On the record.)
17	MR. GREENEBAUM: I was just trying to do it without
18	I've got to go to another document. I apologize.
19	Mr. Smith, do you have the Four Jacks Application in
20	front of you?
21	WITNESS: I have it somewhere.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's Exhibit 46. Mr. Lane, can you
23	help him?
24	MR. LANE: Sure.
25	WITNESS: Is this it?

1	MR. LANE: That's it. Thanks.
2	MR. GREENEBAUM: Would you turn to Exhibit no. 6,
3	page 4, under "Other Matters," and direct your attention to
4	the last paragraph on that page starting with: "When the
5	application of Channel 2 is granted Would you read that
6	paragraph to yourself to the end?
7	WITNESS: Okay.
8	MR. GREENEBAUM: When, when did you and your broth-
9	ers decide that you would run the proposed station as a
10	management committee?
11	MS. SCHMELTZER: Objection, Your Honor. That's
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: What? What's the nature of the
13	objection? It's he's asking
14	MS. SCHMELTZER: I object to the form of the
15	question.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: No. I'll overrule that.
17	WITNESS: I don't know when we decided.
18	MR. GREENEBAUM: Is that statement true as written?
19	WITNESS: Like I said in I think I've said
20	before, I don't know, all our stations are run by management
21	committees and there's no reason to assume that Channel 2
22	would not be.
23	MR. GREENEBAUM: So, that's the way
24	WITNESS: We
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let him finish.

1	MR. GREENEBAUM: I didn't mean to cut him off.
2	WITNESS: I'm sorry. I was just we would simply
3	be department heads of that management committee, of which
4	there would be five or six others.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry. Five or six other what?
6	WITNESS: Other department heads, running that
7	station.
8	BY MR. GREENEBAUM:
9	Q So, all your stations are run that way?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And that's the way you understand you plan to run
12	Channel 2, if you're successful, as well, is that correct?
13	A That's the way I would assume to be understood.
14	That's the way I understand it.
15	Q Are you saying that you and your brothers run
16	Sinclair through a management committee or each of the sta-
17	tions or both? I just want to make sure. The record I think
18	is now
19	A I think that's where the confusion has always been.
20	Q Could you clarify it for us today?
21	A Well, Sinclair Broadcast Group is the four of us.
22	We run by committee. Each station also runs their operation
23	by a department head committee, if you would, have a meeting
24	every week and they discuss issues of what's going on with the
25	station. They talk about problems, solutions, et cetera, et

1	cetera. And then at the end of the meeting if there's any-
2	thing that needs to be ruled on, the general manager has that
3	call. In this case, the general manager is David. And that's
4	the way I see the management committee of Channel 2, being the
5	three of us and whatever other department heads there are,
6	with the general manager having the final call on whatever the
7	issues are. That's the way all the other stations are run.
8	That's the way we I presume that we would run Channel 2.
9	MR. GREENEBAUM: I have no further questions of this
10	witness, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm
12	MR. ZAUNER: I have some questions, yes, Your Honor.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. ZAUNER:
15	Q Could we just get back to this management committee
16	for, for one moment? I'm, I'm really a little, a little lost
17	as to exactly what it is and what it does. What kind of
18	decisions does the management committee make, if any, in your
19	operations?
20	A Do you mean the executive committee, the, the four
21	of us, or the station management committees? Each station has
22	their own of which we are not a part currently.
23	Q Well, I, I don't know. Because all I know is the
24	exhibits
25	A I think that's yeah. I think that's the

|confusion. It's always been on this side of the table.

Q Okay. Where it says -- exhibit no. 6, page 4, that you were just focused on, it says that: "When the application for Channel 2 is granted David, Robert, and Frederick will be involved in the day-to-day operations of Channel 2 on a full-time basis as described above. Though they will carry respectively the titles of general manager, station manager, and operations manager, they will run the proposed family-owned station as a management committee."

And what I'm asking is with regard to management committee as it's used in that statement, what kind -- what will it do? What will it's functions be? What kind of decisions will it make?

A Well, for example, I'll go back to the weekly meeting. There'll be a weekly meeting. And if I'm the operations manager and I'm having trouble where I want input on an issue revolving around public affairs, where we want to head with public affairs this week, maybe we'll have a brainstorming session where we'll discuss ideas to make the public aware of what's going, what's going on by virtue of using the television station. We'll have maybe a ten-minute discussion.

We'll bat ideas around, and those ideas will be batted around by my brothers and myself and then all the rest of the department heads, the news personnel and everyone. Promotion will be involved and we'll discuss matters. And the way it works,

1 |you just go around the table and each person has their turn to | discuss what their department's doing, how it interacts with 2 other departments. And if there's any problems that need to 3 be resolved, the general manager will resolve that -- will 4 have the final call. 5 Well, let's say that you're operations director and 6 7 you bat around some idea in your area. I'm station, I'm station manager. 8 Α You'll be sta-- well, you use the term -- you use 9 0 the example of operations manager. 10 11 Okay. Α 12 0 It doesn't matter. I'm not trying to confuse anybody. 13 Α Well, whatever position you have doesn't make any 14 difference. But let's say that you're operations director or 15 16 station manager --17 Α Okay. 18 -- and, and something in your area of responsibility 19 is discussed at the management committee meeting. 20 Α Right. 21 Would the ultimate decision be left to you because 0

it's in your area and then you would implement it with the

input that you obtained at the committee meeting or would the

question go to the general manager to make the determination

22

23

24

25

on?

1	A The general manager in all of our stations now, and
2	the way I presume we would run Channel 2, would have the final
3	call on whatever my if I had a problem and I let's say I
4	was fighting with the promotion manager over the way the tape
5	machine was being operated or used. I would say well, he
6	shouldn't do it that way. He would say well, you should do it
7	that way. And to stop the bickering, the general manager will
8	say, you're wrong, he's right, now what's the next issue,
9	let's get on with it. That's the way it worked when I was the
10	program manager at WBFF. The general manager had the final
11	call.
12	Q You indicated, I believe, that you're Treasurer
13	of
14	A Yes.
15	Q of Sinclair
16	A Of Sinclair.
17	Q Broadcast Group, and that would include its
18	subsidiaries, correct?
19	A Right.
20	Q What are your present duties as Treasurer?
21	A I don't have any specific duties as Treasurer on a
22	day-to-day basis.
23	Q You, you maintain an office at on property rented
24	by Sinclair Broadcast Group, is that correct?
25	A 2000 West 45th Street. That's correct.